

## Duality II

Today  $\mathrm{Pic}_{X/k}$  is representable.

### §1 Lifting group actions

Def  $S, X/S$  schemes,  $G/S$  group scheme

+ action  $\mu: G_S \times_S X \rightarrow X$ .

+  $\mathcal{F}$  coh  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module.

Lifting of  $G$ -action to  $\mathcal{F}$   $\bar{\phi}$  def

$$\phi: \mu^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} p^* \mathcal{F} \quad p = p_X$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad (\mathrm{id}, \mu)^* \mu^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{id}, \mu)^* \phi} p_{23}^* \mu^* \mathcal{F}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 @ & (\mathrm{id}, \mu)^* \phi & \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 & p_3^* \mathcal{F} & p_{23}^* \mathcal{F}
 \end{array}$$

Explanation T/S ,  $g \in G(T)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (g, \text{id}_X)^* \mu^* \neq & \xrightarrow{(g, \text{id}_X)^* \phi} & (g, \text{id}_X)^* \mu^* \neq \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ g^* \neq_{T'} & \xrightarrow{\phi_g \approx} & \neq_{T'} \end{array}$$

Here :  $\mathcal{F}_T = p_X^* \mathcal{F}$  on  $X_T$

$$g: X_T \longrightarrow X_T$$


@ translates to  $\phi_{hg} = \phi_h \circ h^* \phi_g$  @  
which means that " $G$  acts on  
geometric space of  $\mathcal{F}$ ".

E.g.  $G(T)$  now acts on  $\mathcal{F}(U)$   
whenever  $G(T) \cdot U = U$ .

$$f(u) \xrightarrow{g^*} g^* f(g^{-1} u) = g^* f(u)$$

$\circledast$  means that

there is a group action.

Rule Given  $\{f_g\}_{g \in G(T)}$

satisfying  $\circledast$  + satisfying  $f_{g_0 u} = u^* f_g$

$$\text{If } u : T' \longrightarrow T,$$

$$\text{can recover } \phi : u^* f \xrightarrow{\cong} p^* f$$

$$\text{from case } T = G, g = \text{id}_G$$

Conclude condition  $\circledast$  for  $\phi$  follows from

case  $T = G \times G$  + use of functoriality

of  $\{f_g\}$  +  $\circledast$

§ 2 Descent  $G/S$  finite loc free

$\mu$  free (i.e.  $G \times_S X \rightarrow X \times_S X$   
 $(g, x) \mapsto (gx, x)$ )

↪ a closed immersion )

$X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y := X/G.$  Recall

i)  $X \times_Y X \xrightarrow{\cong} G \times_S X$

ii)  $\pi$  finite & loc free,

in particular fppf.

Last time fppf descent datum

= lifting of  $G$ -action.

$G$ - (of fppf descent) Equivalence

$\mathbb{Q}_{\text{coh}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \{ \text{ft qcoh } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$

+ lifting  $\phi: \mu^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p^* \mathcal{F}$

$$\Sigma \xrightarrow{\quad} \pi^* \Sigma + \text{natural } \phi$$

$$(\pi_* \mathcal{F})^G \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$$

$$\text{i.e. } U \mapsto \ker (\mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \xrightarrow{\phi \circ \mu^* - p^*}$$

$$p^* \mathcal{F}(U \times_{\Sigma} \pi^{-1}(U))$$

$$\underline{\text{Rank}} \quad \mathcal{F} + \phi: p_1^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} p_2^* \mathcal{F}$$

$$\text{for general fpqc: } X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$$

Descented module is

$$\Sigma(U) = \ker \left( \mathcal{F}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \xrightarrow{\phi \circ p_1^* - p_2^*} (p_2^* \mathcal{F})(\pi^{-1}(U \times_{\Sigma} \pi^{-1}(U))) \right)$$

Example

$$1) \mu^* \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow[\cong]{\quad} \mathcal{O}_{G \times X} = \mathcal{O}_{G \times X_S}$$

$\phi = \text{id}$

$\stackrel{A}{\rightarrow} \cong$

$$p^* \mathcal{O}_{G \times X}$$

2)  $T/S$ ,  $g \in G(T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_g : g^* \mathcal{R}_{X/T}^1 &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{R}_{X/T}^1 \\ g^* df &\mapsto d(g^* f) \end{aligned}$$

Provides a 2<sup>nd</sup> proof of fact that

$$\mathcal{R}_{G/S}^1 \cong p^* (p_* \mathcal{R}_{G/S}^1)^G$$

⇒ pull-back from  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module.

§3 Poincaré bundle  $k = \mathbb{k}$

$X/k$  AV,  $\mathcal{L}$  ample on  $X$

$$X' := X/k_{\mathcal{L}}$$

$$X \times X \longrightarrow X^v \times X \quad \text{quasi-iso} \\ K_Z \times 0$$

$$\mathcal{M} := m^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L}^{-1} \\ \text{on } X \times X.$$

Satisfies

$$\mathcal{M} |_{\{x\} \times X} = \mathcal{M} |_{X \times \{x\}} \cong f_X^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$$

Defining property of  $K_Z$  is

$$\mu^* \mathcal{L} \cong p_X^* \mathcal{L} \quad \mu, p : K_Z \times X \rightarrow X$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \phi : (\mu, id_X)^* \mathcal{M} \cong p_{X \times X}^* \mathcal{M}$$

on  $K_Z \times X \times X$

Choice of such  $\phi$  are torsor under

$$H^0(K_Z \times X \times X, \mathcal{O})^\times = H^0(K_Z, \mathcal{O})^\times.$$

Claim  $\phi$  may be chosen to satisfy  $\textcircled{A}$ .

$$\text{Fix } \mathcal{M} \mid_{X \times \{0\}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_X$$

Then,  $\exists!$   $\phi$  s.t.

$$\begin{array}{c} \phi \mid_{K_L \times X \times \{0\}} : \mu^*(\mathcal{M} \mid_{X \times \{0\}}) \\ \swarrow \cong \qquad \qquad \qquad \xrightarrow{\cong} \nu^*(\mathcal{M} \mid_{X \times \{0\}}) \\ \mu^*\mathcal{O}_X \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \nu^*\mathcal{O}_X \end{array}$$

because

$$H^0(K_L \times X \times X, \mathcal{O})^X \xrightarrow{\cong} H^0(K_L \times X \times \{0\}, \mathcal{O})^X$$

Then cocycle cond is satisfied.

Def  $P$  on  $X^X \times X$  defined as  
descend of  $(\mathcal{M}, \phi)$ .

## § 4 The Theorem

Then  $(X^\vee, P)$  represents  $\text{Pic}^0_{X/k}$ , i.e.

$\forall S/k \quad \forall Q \in \text{Pic}^0_{X/k}(S) \quad \text{s.t.}$

$$Q|_{O_S} \subseteq X_S \cong O_S$$

$\exists!$  morphism  $u_Q : S \xrightarrow{\sim} X^\vee$

$$\text{s.t. } Q = (u_Q, \text{id}_X)^* P.$$

Proof  $S/\text{Spec } k$ ,  $Q \in \text{Pic}^0_{X/k}(S)$

$$\text{s.t. } Q|_{O_S} \cong O_S.$$

$$\text{On } X_S^\vee \times_{\mathbb{A}^1} X_S = S \times X^\vee \times X$$

$$\text{consider } M := p_{13}^* \mathcal{Q}^{-1} \otimes p_{23}^* P$$

$\Gamma \subseteq S \times X^\vee$  closed subscheme s.t.

$T \xrightarrow{\cong} S \times X^\vee$  factors through  $\Gamma$

$\Leftrightarrow v^* \mathcal{M} \cong p_T^* \mathcal{D}$  for  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Pic}(T)$

on  $T \times X$

$\Leftrightarrow v^* \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_{T \times X}$  (because  
 $\mathcal{M}|_{S \times X \times \{0\}}$   
 $\cong \mathcal{O}_{S \times X} \cdot )$

To show

Note  $(s, y) \in \Gamma$

$\Leftrightarrow Q(s) \cong P(y).$

$\Gamma$  graph  $\Gamma_n$

for some  $u: S \rightarrow X^\vee$

$\Leftrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\text{pr}} S \rightsquigarrow$  an isomorphism.

Thus from last line:

$\phi_X(k): X(k) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^\circ(k)$

$$\text{induces } X^v(k) \cong \text{Pic}^0(k)$$

$\implies |\Gamma| \rightarrow |S|$   
 (allowing  $k$  to be  
 any alg closed field)  
 $(s, u(s)) \mapsto s.$

Rank If  $\text{char } k = 0$  &  $S$  normal  
 variety, then already sufficient.  
 (Zariski's Main Thm)

Step 1 Reduce to  $S$  affinized  $k$ -alg.

$\Gamma \rightarrow S$  being iso is local on  $S$ .  
 so wlog  $S$  affine.

$\Gamma \rightarrow S$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -finiter + proper  $\Rightarrow$  affine  
 $\Rightarrow \Gamma$  also affine.

Enough,  $\mathcal{O}_{S,S} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, (s, u(s))}$

Formation of  $\Gamma$  commutes w/ loc  
along  $k \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{X}(s)}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  wlog  $s \in S(k)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{O}_{S,s} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, (s, u(s))}$  180

$\Leftrightarrow$  (so mod  $m_s^n$ )  $u$  is

( Standing assumption: Schemes are  
loc noetherian )

So wlog,  $S = \text{Spec } A$ ,  $A$  artinian  
local  $k$ -algebra.

Further reduction  $u(s) = \sigma \in X^\vee(k)$

by replacing  $\mathbb{Q}$  by  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(s)^{-1}$ .

to assume  $\mathcal{O}(s) = \mathcal{O}_X$

Step 2  $H^i(S \times X^\vee \times X, \mu) = 0$   
 if  $i \neq g$ .

Consider all  $R^i p_{12,*} \mathcal{M}$ . Have support

at  $(s, 0)$  since  $\mathcal{M}|_{S \times \{y\} \times X} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$

$\Leftrightarrow y = 0$  in  $X^\vee(k)$ .

by defn of  $X^\vee$

+ Lem. from last line that

$H^i(X, \mathcal{D}) = 0 \quad \forall i \neq g$

$\mathcal{D} \in \text{Ric}^\circ(X), \mathcal{D} \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_X$ .

In particular,  $R^j p_{12,*} \mathcal{M}$  supported on an

affine, so  $H^i(R^j p_{12,*} \mathcal{M}) = 0$   
 if  $j > 0$ .

$$\implies H^i(S \times X^\vee \times X, M)$$

Leray

$$= H^0(S \times X^\vee, R^i p_{12,*} M)$$

is finite dim k-rusp +  $\neq 0$  in degree  
at most  $0 \leq i \leq g$ .

Let  $0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K^g \rightarrow 0$

perfect complex of  $A \otimes_k Q_{X^\vee, 0}$ -modules

computing  $R^i p_{12,*} M$  universally.

The following Lemma applies:

LEM  $\oplus$  reg loc ring of dim  $g$ .

$0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K^g \rightarrow 0$  perfect

s.t.  $H^i(K^*)$  artinian  $H^i$ .  $\mathbb{Q}$ -complex

Then  $H^i(K^*) = 0$  for  $i < g$ .

Proof  $x \in m \setminus m^2$  ( $m \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  max ideal)

Then  $\mathcal{O}/x\mathcal{O}$  regular of dim  $g-1$ .

$$0 \longrightarrow K^\circ \xrightarrow{x} K^\circ \longrightarrow \bar{K}^\circ \longrightarrow 0$$

gives long seq in column:

$$H^i(K^\circ) \xrightarrow{x} H^i(K^\circ) \longrightarrow H^i(\bar{K}^\circ) \longrightarrow$$

$$H^{i+1}(K^\circ) \xrightarrow{x} H^{i+1}(K^\circ)$$

$\implies H^i(\bar{K}^\circ) = 0$  a.s.h. again

(induction)

$$\implies H^i(\bar{K}^\circ) = 0 \quad \forall i < g-1.$$

$$\implies x : H^i(K^\circ) \hookrightarrow H^i(K^\circ)$$

$H^i(K^\circ) = 0$

Since  $H^i(K^\circ) = 0$ , implies

$$H^i(K^\circ) = 0$$

Lema + Step 2.  $\square$

Step 3  $H^0(S \times X^\vee \times X, \mu)$  free

$A$ -module

Same as with  $R^i_{P_{12}, *} M$ ,

$R^i_{P_{13}, *} M$  are supported on the finite

set  $|S \times K_L|$ , so

$$H^i(S \times X^\vee \times X, \mu) = H^0(S \times X, R^i_{P_{13}, *} M)$$

Now

Def of  $M$

$$R^i_{P_{13}, *} M = R^i_{P_{13}, *} (P_{23}^* P \otimes P_{13}^* Q^{-1})$$

$$= R^i_{P_{13}, *} (P_{23}^* P) \otimes Q^{-1}$$

non-canonically by projection formula.

$$\cong R^i_{P_{13}, *} (P_{23}^* P).$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{finite set}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\text{finite set}}$

$$\Rightarrow H^i(S \times X^\vee \times X, \mathcal{M})$$

$$\cong A \otimes_k H^i(X^\vee \times X, \mathcal{P}).$$

$\cong$  free as an  $A$ -module.  $\square$

Step 3

Preparation for Final (back to  $R^i_{P_{12}, *}$ )

$$\mathcal{B} = A \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{X^\vee, 0} = \mathcal{O}_{S \times X^\vee, (S, 0)}.$$

$$0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K^g \rightarrow 0$$

perfect complex of  $\mathcal{B}$ -module computing

$R^i_{P_{12}, *} \mathcal{M}$  reversally.

$$\text{Then } \ker(K^0((S, 0)) \rightarrow K^1((S, 0)))$$

$$= H^0(\mathcal{M}|_{S \times 0 \times X}) \cong k \quad *$$

$$\widehat{K}^i := \mathrm{Hom}_B(K^i, B)$$

(where \$B\$ is a \$k\$-algebra.)

$$\widehat{K}^1 \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

$$\mathrm{Then} \quad C((s, 0)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_B(k, k)$$

$\star$

has  $k$ -dim 1.

Nakayama

$\Rightarrow C$  generated by single elb as  $B$ -module.

$$\Leftrightarrow C \cong B/\mathfrak{b}.$$

Rank Compare this with discussion in Lect 19:

$$\text{Cet } \Gamma = \mathrm{Spec} B/\mathfrak{b}.$$

Finally

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \mathfrak{f} \\ & & B/\mathfrak{b} \end{array}$$

Composition is iso,  
i.e.  $S \cong \Gamma$ .

Injectivity

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{K}^g \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \widehat{K}^\circ \rightarrow 0$$

has a unique column like  $K^\bullet$

Lemma from Step 2  $\Rightarrow$

$$\text{H}^i(\widehat{K}^\circ) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq g \\ C & i = g \end{cases}$$

Claim  $C$  b-torsion implies that

cohomology of  $K^\bullet = \widehat{K}^\bullet$  is  
also b-torsion.

Proof Show that mult. by  $b \in b$   
is null-homotopic. This is  
preserved under dualizing.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{K}^1 & \xrightarrow{d} & \hat{K}^0 \\ b = b' \downarrow & \phi \swarrow & \downarrow b^0 = b \\ & d & \\ \hat{K}^1 & \xrightarrow{d} & \hat{K}^0 \end{array}$$

$$b \subset 0 \iff \text{Im}(b^0) \subseteq \text{Im } d$$

$\hat{K}^0$  projective  $\implies \exists \phi$  as in diagram

This is iterable to construct homotopy.  $\square$   
claim.

Step 2+3 Only column of  $K^0$  is  $K^g/K^{g-1}$

which is  $\neq 0$  &  $A$ -free.

Claim

$$\implies A \cap b = 0 \iff A \hookrightarrow B/b.$$

D injectivity

Surjectivity By Nakayama, enough

$$\text{to see } A/\mu_A \longrightarrow B/b + \mu_A B.$$

$\Rightarrow$  wlog  $S = \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}(s) (= \text{Spec } k)$

Then we ask for maximal  $T \subseteq X^v$   
over which  $P$  trivial.

This is  $\{0\} = K_2 / K_2$  by construction.



Thus,

Used in Step 1

$Y \rightarrow S$  flat + proper + geom red  
fibers.

$L$  on  $Y$ .

§19  $Z = Z(L) \subseteq S$  s.th

$T \rightarrow S$  factors through  $Z$

$$\iff \mathcal{L}_T \in \text{Pic}(Y_T)$$

$$L_C \sim p_T^* \text{Pic}(T).$$

Then  $\forall S^1 \rightarrow S$ ,

$$Z(\mathcal{L}_{S^1}) = S^1 \times Z.$$